#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 08/07/08 Date Received: 07/31/08

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M120160, F&BI 807329

Date Extracted: 08/07/08 Date Analyzed: 08/07/08

## RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF AQUEOUS SAMPLES FOR SPECIFIC GRAVITY @ 15.56 °C

Sample ID			Sp	<u>ecific Gravi</u>	ty
Laboratory ID					
M120160A Sm. 807329-01	Tank			1.16	
M120160B Lg.	Tank			1.23	

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 08/07/08 Date Received: 07/31/08

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M120160, F&BI 807329

Date Analyzed: 08/04/08

# RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF AQUEOUS SAMPLES FOR PERCENT ACID

Sample ID	Percent Acid
Laboratory ID	
M120160A Sm. Tank 807329-01	7.6
M120160B Lg. Tank 807329-02	9.4

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 08/07/08 Date Received: 07/31/08

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M120160, F&BI 807329

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF AQUEOUS SAMPLES FOR SPECIFIC GRAVITY @ 15.56 °C

Laboratory	Code:	807329-01	(Duplicate)
		at the fig." is the sales	

	Sample	Duplicate	Relative Percent	Acceptance					
Analyte	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria					
Specific Gravity	1.16	1.16	0	0-2					

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 08/07/08 Date Received: 07/31/08

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M120160, F&BI 807329

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF AQUEOUS SAMPLES FOR PERCENT ACID

Laboratory Cod	e: 8073	329-01 (D	uplicate)		
		Sample	Duplicate	Relative Percent	Acceptance
Analyte		Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
Percent Acid	1.5 75	7.6	7.5		0-20

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probablility.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.
- y The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

August 7, 2008



#### **INVOICE #08ACU0807-1**

Accounts Payable Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

RE: Project Metro Self Monitor, PO M120160, F&BI 807329 - Results of testing requested by Gerry Thompson for material submitted on July 31, 2008.

2 samples analyzed for Specific Gravity  @ \$30 per sample	\$ 60.00
2 samples analyzed for Percent Acid Content @ \$75 per sample	150.00
Rush Charges (5 day) 50% of \$210.00	105.00
Amount Due	\$ 315.00

federal tax id \*(b) (6)

	001290		, and the original	SAI	MPL	Е СНА	IN OF C	US'	<b>ГО</b> ]	DΥ	M	E	0	7/:	31/	28			A	Ιų	<b>6</b>
	Send Report To Crench	The	ngen				signature)			5					2	ф Г	T		AROUNI		ME
	Company ALASKAZ	Copper	aux	<u>'</u>	PRO	JECT NA	MENO.					m		) # () <b>/6</b> (	<u>ر</u>				(2 Week		by:
,	Address 628 S. H. City, State, ZIP Seasone Phone #206-571-603	ind		a/		ARKS	k	<del></del>							e e		Disp Retu	ose a irn sa	PLE DISI fter 30 d imples with insti	ays	
		1 1 1	<del>T</del>	<del></del>								ANA	LYS	SES F	REQU	JEST	ED				
2	Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sam	ple Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270		36 A HOUS						Note	s
	M120160A	01	7/31/08	BR:W	How	3	1							*	1						
	Sr. tale				:				•	5											
١,						. 8 22						,									
7	(1)									1					÷					*:	
	REPE						·					-									1.5
	M120160B												e.							*	
	M 12016013	02	7/31/08	R:30	Am	ا که	: /			!	**			X	X						
	,	,				,									,						
															÷	4				夢	i y
		,					,									,					
1	Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West	em quie de hy	SIGNATU	RE	v	Gen	PRINT			)		Ŧ	K.	CO	MPA	NY		5	DATE	آ : و	FIME
ŀ	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	eceived by	Mai	ار مان	نــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	Whav						$\dashv$	Ŧ	91	3_I		<del></del>	7	13/12	3,	70
	Ph. (206) 285-8282	elinquished by:	- Ju			INW	\ 1 Na	~	E-4			+				, .		- -/-	-10	4.	do bu
		eceived by:					9.	<del></del> -				+						+		-	-
7	CORMEN COCYCOC DOC					L	<del></del>			····						<del></del>		ㅡ		L	

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

August 7, 2008

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on July 31, 2008 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO M120160, F&BI 807329 project. There are 5 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0807R.DOC